

Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

Supervisor's Report on Use of Force

Page 1 of 3

Incident Information

URN: 016 - 08344 - 0561 - 146		Date: July 24, 2016	Time: 0239 HRS
Location: [REDACTED] Remer St., South El Monte		City or Station: Temple	
Bureau/Station/Facility: Temple Station		Admin. Investigation: <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	
Type of Force: Resisted Handcuffing			
Incident Category: <input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input checked="" type="radio"/> 3		Deputy Injury: <input type="radio"/> YES <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO	
Suspect Injury: <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Call		<input type="checkbox"/> Observation	
<input type="checkbox"/> Detail		<input type="checkbox"/> Foot Pursuit	
<input type="checkbox"/> Vehicle Pursuit			
IAB Notified: <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO		Person Notified: Lt. Roberta Granek	
Emp: [REDACTED]		IAB Roll Out: <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	

Involved Employees

E1	Emp #	Last Name	Bonilla		First Name	Eduardo		Middle I.	Rank
									DSG
	Sex:	Race:	Height:	Weight:	Age:	Shift:			
	<input checked="" type="radio"/> M <input type="radio"/> F	H	511	165	[REDACTED]	<input checked="" type="radio"/> EM <input type="radio"/> Day <input type="radio"/> PM	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Regular Shift <input type="radio"/> OT Shift <input type="radio"/> Off Duty		
	Unit of Assignment:		Work Assignment (Unit #, Module, etc.):						
	Temple Station		Unit 55						
	Individual Force Used:		Resisted Handcuffing				Individual Category		
							<input type="checkbox"/> Directed <input type="checkbox"/> Rescue <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Assist		<input checked="" type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3
	<input type="checkbox"/> Injured <input type="checkbox"/> Treated <input type="checkbox"/> Admitted		Facility:				Coroner Case #		

E2	Emp #	Last Name	Dietze		First Name	Bradley		Middle I.	Rank
									DSG
	Sex:	Race:	Height:	Weight:	Age:	Shift:			
	<input checked="" type="radio"/> M <input type="radio"/> F	W	510	160	[REDACTED]	<input checked="" type="radio"/> EM <input type="radio"/> Day <input type="radio"/> PM	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Regular Shift <input type="radio"/> OT Shift <input type="radio"/> Off Duty		
	Unit of Assignment:		Work Assignment (Unit #, Module, etc.):						
	Temple Station		Unit 55T1						
	Individual Force Used:		Resisted Handcuffing				Individual Category		
							<input type="checkbox"/> Directed <input type="checkbox"/> Rescue <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Assist		<input checked="" type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3
	<input type="checkbox"/> Injured <input type="checkbox"/> Treated <input type="checkbox"/> Admitted		Facility:				Coroner Case #		

E	Employee #	Last Name	[REDACTED]		First Name	[REDACTED]		Middle I.	Rank
	Sex:	Race:	Height:	Weight:	Age:	Shift:			
	<input type="radio"/> M <input type="radio"/> F					<input type="radio"/> EM <input type="radio"/> Day <input type="radio"/> PM	<input type="radio"/> Regular Shift <input type="radio"/> OT Shift <input type="radio"/> Off Duty		
	Unit of Assignment:		Work Assignment (Unit #, Module, etc.):						
	Temple Station								
	Individual Force Used:						Individual Category		
							<input type="checkbox"/> Directed <input type="checkbox"/> Rescue <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Assist		<input type="radio"/> 1 <input type="radio"/> 2 <input type="radio"/> 3
	<input type="checkbox"/> Injured <input type="checkbox"/> Treated <input type="checkbox"/> Admitted		Facility:				Coroner Case #		

On Duty Supervisor

☐ Additional Involved Employees

Emp #	Last Name	First Name	Middle I.	Rank	Present	Witness to Incident
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	SGT.	YES <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input checked="" type="radio"/>
Supervisor Completing Investigation						
Emp #	Last Name	First Name	Middle I.	Rank	Present	Witness to Incident
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input checked="" type="radio"/>	YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input checked="" type="radio"/>
Watch Commander / Supervising Lieutenant						
Emp #	Last Name	First Name	Middle I.	Rank		
[REDACTED]	Granek	Roberta		LT.		

Watch Commander / Supervising Lieutenant's Signature: _____ Date: _____ Copy Provided to Employee by: _____ Emp #: _____

Unit Commander (Print Name) _____ Unit Commander's Signature: _____ Emp #: _____ Date: _____

DISCOVERY Use Only
FO#

☐ PPI REVIEW COMPLETED

Original: Discovery Unit
Copy: Unit Commander

SH-R-438P (Rev. 01/13)

Supervisor's Report on Use of Force

SUSPECT INFORMATION

0 1 6 - 0 8 3 4 4 - 0 5 6 1 - 1 4 6

Page 2 of 3

Suspect Information

S 1 Last Name: Rojas First Name: Ignacio Middle Name: Armed? Select: Not Armed

AKA Last Name: Alva First Name: Ignacio Middle Name: Rojas

Sex: ☒ Male ☐ Female Race: H Age: 49 Height: 505 Weight: 165 D.O.B.: 07/31/66 Phone #1: ☒ H ☐ W ☐ C Phone #2: ☐ H ☐ W ☐ C N/A

Street Address: City: State & Zip Code:

Booking #: 4730828 Primary Charge Code: 243(e)(1) PC Secondary Charge Code: Criminal History:

Treated on Scene? ☐ YES ☒ NO Name: Unit: Phone #:

Hospital Admission? ☒ Rec'd Treatment At: SGV Medical Center Coroner Case #: Mental History ☐ User's guide provides direction on this entry

By: Dr. Frederick Levin Address: 438 W. Las Tunas Dr. Phone #: (626)289-5454

Under Influence: ☒ YES ☐ NO Substance: Alcohol 5150 a factor in force? ☐ YES ☒ NO User's guide provides direction on this entry

Suspect Interview

Date: 7/24/16 Time: 0750 ☐ Audiotape: ☒ Videotape: ☐ Photos of Injuries: ☐ ADMITS HEARING ANNOUNCEMENTS

Suspect Information

S Last Name: First Name: Middle Name: Armed? Select:

AKA Last Name: First Name: Middle Name:

Sex: ☐ Male ☐ Female Race: Age: Height: D.O.B.: Weight: Phone #1: ☐ H ☐ W ☐ C Phone #2: ☐ H ☐ W ☐ C

Street Address: City: State & Zip Code:

Booking #: Primary Charge Code: Secondary Charge Code: ☐ Criminal History

Treated on Scene? ☐ YES ☐ NO By: Unit: Phone #:

Hospital Admission? ☐ Rec'd Treatment At: Coroner Case #: Mental History ☐ User's guide provides direction on this entry

By: Address: Phone #:

Under Influence: ☐ YES ☐ NO Substance: 5150 a factor in force? ☐ YES ☐ NO User's guide provides direction on this entry

Suspect Interview

Date: Time: ☐ Audiotape: ☐ Videotape: ☐ Photos of Injuries: ☐ ADMITS HEARING ANNOUNCEMENTS

Suspect Information

S Last Name: First Name: Middle Name: Armed? Select:

AKA Last Name: First Name: Middle Name:

Sex: ☐ Male ☐ Female Race: Age: Height: D.O.B.: Weight: Phone #1: ☐ H ☐ W ☐ C Phone #2: ☐ H ☐ W ☐ C

Street Address: City: State & Zip Code:

Booking #: Primary Charge Code: Secondary Charge Code: ☐ Criminal History

Treated on Scene? ☐ YES ☐ NO By: Unit: Phone #:

Hospital Admission? ☐ Rec'd Treatment At: Coroner Case #: Mental History ☐ User's guide provides direction on this entry

By: Address: Phone #:

Under Influence: ☐ YES ☐ NO Substance: 5150 a factor in force? ☐ YES ☐ NO User's guide provides direction on this entry

Suspect Interview

Date: Time: ☐ Audiotape: ☐ Videotape: ☐ Photos of Injuries: ☐ ADMITS HEARING ANNOUNCEMENTS

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 1 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 8 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 5 & 6 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} - \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 4 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

INVESTIGATIVE SUMMARY

IAB FILE NUMBER: IV 2408882/ FO 2408274

INCIDENT: Use of Force, Control Holds, Resisted Handcuffing

URN#: 016-08344-0561-146

DATE/ TIME: July 24, 2016, Sunday, 0239 Hours

LOCATION: [REDACTED] Remer St., South El Monte, CA. 91733

On Sunday, July 24, 2016, an Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) team comprised of Lieutenant Roberta Granek, Sergeant [REDACTED] and Sergeant [REDACTED] responded to Temple Station, regarding a Use of Force. Suspect Ignacio Rojas alleged he was injured by deputies, while being taken into custody. He was subsequently transported to San Gabriel Valley Medical Center where he was treated for an abrasion to his left cheek, possible bleeding on the brain, a fractured left rib, and bruising on his left bicep. Deputies were not injured during the incident. It is alleged the deputies did not follow force reporting procedures. [REDACTED]

SYNOPSIS:

On Sunday, July 24, 2016, at approximately 0240 hours, Subjects Eduardo Bonilla and Bradley Dietze were assigned to a "Possible, Spousal Assault just occurred" call. Please see the attached copy of the call, which will be attached to this Investigative Summary, as [EXHIBIT A]. Subject Bonilla, who was working a single person unit, was assigned as the handling deputy on the call and Subject Dietze, who was working a single man traffic unit, was assigned to assist on the call. Being in close proximity to the location of the call, Subject Guzak assigned himself to the call [Page 5 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview].

IAB Note: The audio of the call is attached to this Investigative Summary, as [EXHIBIT B].

Subject Dietze was the first to arrive at the location [Page 5 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview] and Subject Guzak arrived shortly after. Upon their arrival, both Subject Dietze and Subject Guzak exited their marked Sheriff's patrol cars and together contacted Witness [REDACTED] who was standing on the sidewalk in front of the location [Page 5 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview/ Page 6 of Subject Dietze's

transcribed interview]. Due to a language barrier, Subjects Dietze and Guzak were unable to get much information from Witness [REDACTED]

Approximately two to three minutes after Subjects Dietze's and Guzak's arrival, Subject Bonilla arrived to the location and spoke to Witness [REDACTED] in Spanish. Witness [REDACTED] advised Subject Bonilla of the assault against her by Suspect Rojas, prior to her calling 9-1-1. She also told him Suspect Rojas was still inside the location **[Page 7 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**. Subject [REDACTED] was present, during Subject Bonilla's contact with Witness [REDACTED] **[Page 8 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**. Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak then walked to the rear of the location to make contact with Suspect Rojas **[Page 7 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**. Subject [REDACTED] stayed at the front of the location with Witness [REDACTED] **[Page 8 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**.

IAB Note: [REDACTED] Remer Street is a single family residence, which has been subdivided. The room Witness [REDACTED] and Subject Rojas were living in, has its own doorway/ security screen entrance which is exclusive to their room. The door is located at the rear of the residence. Please see pictures of the location, which are attached to this Investigative Summary, as **[EXHIBIT B]**.

While walking down the west walkway of the location, Subject Bonilla led the way, followed by Subject Dietze, who was followed by Subject Guzak **[Page 7 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**. After rounding the west wall of the location, Subject Bonilla encountered a partially open window on the north wall, which opened into Suspect Rojas' room. Subject Bonilla announced he was from the Sheriff's Department and gave Suspect Rojas directions to come to the front door to talk with them **[Page 10 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**. Suspect Rojas complied, by walking to the front security screen of the location and opening it **[Page 11 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview/ Page 8 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**.

Suspect Rojas exited the location, stepping immediately outside the doorway/ threshold. Once Suspect Rojas stepped out of the location, Subject Bonilla gave him verbal commands to face the doorway and put his hands behind his back **[Page 12 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview/ Page 8 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**. Suspect Rojas cooperated and placed his hands behind his back **[Page 15 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**. Subject Bonilla took hold of Suspect Rojas' hands, using his (Bonilla's) left hand. Subject Bonilla then conducted a pat down search of Suspect Rojas' person, using his right hand **[Page 15 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**.

IAB Note: A pat down search, is a search of a person's outer clothing wherein a police officer runs his or her hands along the outer garments to detect any concealed weapons.

While conducting the pat down search, Suspect Rojas broke free his left hand from Subject Bonilla's grasp and began to quickly walk back into his residence, with his back toward the deputies **[Page 17 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**. As Suspect Rojas walked back into his residence, Subject Bonilla maintained control of Suspect Rojas' right arm **[Page 17 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**. Upon seeing Suspect Rojas break free, Subject Dietze grabbed Suspect Rojas' left arm, with his left hand and assisted Subject Bonilla with pulling Suspect Rojas back out of the residence **[Page 8 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**. Suspect Rojas made it approximately one to two feet past the doorway of his residence, prior to being pulled back out by Subjects Bonilla and Dietze **[Page 16 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**.

After regaining control of Suspect Rojas, Subjects Bonilla and Dietze placed Suspect Rojas, (frontal plane forward), up against the stucco wall, immediately to the west of the door of Suspect Rojas' residence. Subject Bonilla then used his body weight, to hold Suspect Rojas up against the wall **[Page 21 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**, while Subject Dietze maintained control of Suspect Rojas' left arm. Subject Guzak handed Subject Bonilla a pair of his (Guzak's) handcuffs and Subject Bonilla handcuffed Suspect Rojas. While handcuffing Suspect Rojas, he (Rojas) was turning his head from side to side, hitting his head against the stucco wall. At one point, Subject Bonilla saw Suspect Rojas scrape his left cheek against the stucco wall **[Page 4 of Subject Bonilla's first report]**. After Suspect Rojas was handcuffed, Subject Bonilla escorted him out to his (Bonilla's) patrol car, which was parked in front of the location **[Page 22 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**. Subject Bonilla then transported Suspect Rojas to Temple Station, where he booked Suspect Rojas for Spousal Battery **[Page 22 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**. Subject Bonilla wrote a report in which he memorialized this incident **[EXHIBIT C]**.

No Use of Force was reported at the time of the incident.

Subject [REDACTED] who was assigned as the day shift watch commander, began his shift by going into the Temple Station jail. From the position he was standing, Subject [REDACTED] could see Suspect Rojas in one of the jail's holding cells. Subject [REDACTED] saw Suspect Rojas had a large, circular abrasion on his left cheek **[Page 4 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]**.

IAB Note: A holding cell is a secured holding area within a station jail, where newly arrested individuals are housed until they have been finger printed and processed by the jailer. After being processed, the arrested individual is then assigned to a cell.

After seeing Suspect Rojas' abrasion, Subject [REDACTED] looked at the white board in the jail, to see Suspect Rojas' name and the location he was arrested. Subject [REDACTED] then went to the station dispatch area, where he obtained a printed copy of the call where Suspect Rojas was arrested **[Page 4 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]**. While reviewing the call, Subject [REDACTED] saw Suspect Rojas had been arrested for Domestic

Battery and Subject [REDACTED] along with Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak were assigned to the incident [EXHIBIT A]. To Subject [REDACTED] knowledge, there had not been an Incident Report turned in at this time [Page 4 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview].

Subject [REDACTED] paged for Subject [REDACTED] over the station public announcement system, but received no response, due to the fact Subject [REDACTED] had already left the station to go home. Subject [REDACTED] had the day shift sergeant help him locate a contact number for Subject [REDACTED] [Page 4 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. Several minutes later, Subject [REDACTED] received a phone call from Subject [REDACTED]. During their phone conversation, Subject [REDACTED] refreshed Subject [REDACTED] memory of the call on Remer Street and asked him if Suspect Rojas had been medically treated for his injury. Subject [REDACTED] told Subject [REDACTED] he remembered being there, but did not recall seeing any injuries to Suspect Rojas' person, nor was he advised of any injuries to Suspect Rojas, by deputy personnel [Page 4 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview/ Pages 11, 14 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. Subject [REDACTED] ordered Subject [REDACTED] to come back to the station [Page 5 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. Subject [REDACTED] complied and returned to Temple Station [Page 15 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview].

When Subject [REDACTED] returned to Temple Station, Subject [REDACTED] took him [REDACTED] back to the jail, where Suspect Rojas was being housed. Subject [REDACTED] was surprised to see the bruise on Suspect Rojas' left arm, as well as the abrasion on his left cheek [Page 5 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. Subject [REDACTED] was adamant he [REDACTED] did not know anything about Suspect Rojas' injuries [Page 5 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview].

Subject [REDACTED] then called Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak into the watch commander's office. With Subject [REDACTED] and all three subject deputies present, Subject [REDACTED] asked Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak if they knew anything about the inmate in the jail, who had the abrasion on his face. Subjects Bonilla and Dietze told Subject [REDACTED] they did not believe what took place was reportable force [Page 6 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. In order to gain a better understanding regarding "Why" Subjects Bonilla and Dietze did not believe their actions with Suspect Rojas at Suspect Rojas' residence did not constitute force, Subject [REDACTED] told them to describe to him what took place, with as much detail as possible [Page 6 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. Subject [REDACTED] further told Subjects Bonilla, Dietze, and Guzak to report the incident to him, as if they were reporting it in the field [Page 6 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview].

Subject Bonilla told Subject [REDACTED] the following: He was trying to control Suspect Rojas, when Suspect Rojas tried to go back inside his (Rojas') residence. He tried to hang onto Suspect Rojas, so he could handcuff him. During that time, Suspect Rojas was moving his body from side to side, in an attempt to get away. When Suspect Rojas was moving his body, he (Rojas) hit his face on the outside wall of the residence, which

was made of stucco. Based on Suspect Rojas' actions, he (Bonilla) forced his body up against the back of Suspect Rojas, pinning him up against the wall. Subject Dietze then grabbed Suspect Rojas' arm and placed it behind the suspect's back. Subject Guzak handed him (Bonilla) a set of handcuffs and both he (Bonilla) and Subject Dietze handcuffed Suspect Rojas [Page 7 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview].

Due to the fact that what had been described to Subject ██████ constituted force, Subject ██████ told Subject Bonilla he needed to be as descriptive as possible and include the force he used in his report [Page 7 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview]. Since Subject Dietze had not written a report, Subject ██████ directed him to write a supplemental report detailing his (Dietze's) use of force [Page 7 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview]. Subject ██████ additionally directed Subject Guzak to write a supplemental report detailing his actions and what he witnessed [Page 7 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview]. During his meeting with Subjects ██████ Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak, Subject ██████ defined force and explained to them what reportable force consisted of [Page 7, 8 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview]. At this time, Subject ██████ still had not had any contact with Suspect Rojas [Page 8 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview].

IAB Note: The Los Angeles County Sheriff Department's Manual of Policy and Procedures section 3-01/010.00 defines Force as, "Any physical effort used to control or restrain another, or to overcome the resistance of another."

Subject ██████ conducted a videotaped interview of Suspect Rojas. During the course of the interview, Subject ██████ documented Suspect Rojas' injuries, as well as his recollection of what had taken place. During the interview, Suspect Rojas told Subject ██████ the deputies pushed him down to the ground and punched him approximately two to three times on his head. Suspect Rojas told Subject ██████ he was experiencing pain to his left cheek, head, and left rib cage area [Page 14-15 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview of Suspect Rojas]. Please see the video of Subject ██████ interview with Suspect Rojas, which is attached to this Investigative Summary as [EXHIBIT B].

Suspect Rojas was transported to San Gabriel Valley Medical Center, via patrol car, where he was treated for a superficial abrasion on his left cheek, a left seventh rib fracture, pain and swelling to his left temple area, possible bleeding on the brain and bruising to his upper left arm. He was subsequently transported to Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, via ambulance, where he received further treatment and evaluation for his injuries.

Due to the nature of Suspect Rojas' injuries, Subject ██████ made notification of the incident to the Internal Affairs Bureau. Upon being told the Internal Affairs Bureau was going to take responsibility for handling the incident, Subject ██████ collected all the reports and documentation of the incident. While reviewing the reports written by Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak, Subject ██████ found the reports all stated they had

notified Subject [REDACTED] of the force they used and/ or witnessed, at the scene of the incident [Page 12 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. Subject [REDACTED] directed Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak write supplemental reports to correct the time and location of when their notification to Subject [REDACTED] was made [Page 12 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. Please see Subject Bonilla's, Dietze's and Guzak's reports, which will be attached to this Investigative Summary as [EXHIBIT C].

INVOLVED EMPLOYEE AND WORK HISTORY

Eduardo Bonilla, Deputy Sheriff, # [REDACTED]
East Patrol Division
Temple Station

Work History

Date of Employment: November 7, 2007
Date Assigned to Unit: November 16, 2014
Date Completed Patrol Training: [REDACTED]
Prior Shooting Incident(s): [REDACTED]
Prior Founded Force Incidents: [REDACTED]

Equipment Worn On Person

Beretta 92F
Oleoresin Capsicum (OC) Spray
Four Magazines
Handcuffs
Handheld radio

Bradley Dietze, Deputy Sheriff, # [REDACTED]
East Patrol Division
Temple Station

Work History

Date of Employment: April 12, 2006
Date Assigned to Unit: July 10, 2016
Date Completed Patrol Training: [REDACTED]
Prior Shooting Incident(s): [REDACTED]
Prior Founded Force Incidents: [REDACTED]

Equipment Worn On Person

Smith and Wesson M&P
Oleoresin Capsicum (OC) Spray
Magazines

Handcuffs
Expandable baton
X-26 Taser
Handheld radio

Charles Guzak, Deputy Sheriff, # [REDACTED]
East Patrol Division
Temple Station

Work History

Date of Employment: March 6, 1997
Date Assigned to Unit: October 7, 2007
Date Completed Patrol Training: [REDACTED]
Prior Shooting Incident(s): [REDACTED]
Prior Founded Force Incidents: [REDACTED]

Equipment Worn On Person

Smith and Wesson M&P
Oleoresin Capsicum (OC) Spray
Magazines
Expandable baton
Handcuffs
Handheld radio

SUSPECT INFORMATION

Ignacio Rojas

Male, Hispanic, DOB: July 31, 1966, 5'05", 165

CI # [REDACTED] MAIN # [REDACTED] FBI # [REDACTED]

The Consolidated Criminal History System revealed that Suspect Rojas had [REDACTED]

IAB Note: A copy of Suspect Rojas' criminal history is included in this case book [MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS].

SUSPECT'S INJURIES

Suspect Rojas was transported to San Gabriel Valley Medical Center, via patrol car, where he was treated for a superficial abrasion on his left cheek, a left seventh rib fracture, pain and swelling to his left temple area, possible bleeding on the brain and bruising to his upper left arm. He was subsequently transported to Harbor-UCLA

Medical Center, via ambulance, where he received further treatment and evaluation for his injuries. For further information, please see Subject [REDACTED] videotaped interview of San Gabriel Valley Medical Center's Dr. Frederick Levin, which is attached to this Investigative Summary as [EXHIBIT B].

IAB Note: A copy of Suspect Rojas' medical records are included in this case book [MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS].

DEPUTY PERSONNEL INJURIES

There were no injuries to the involved deputies.

PHYSICAL EVIDENCE

No physical evidence related to the force was collected by Internal Affairs Bureau investigators or Temple Station deputies.

INVESTIGATOR'S OBSERVATIONS

Internal Affairs Bureau investigators were called out to this incident several hours after the occurrence.

IAB Note: Photographs of the scene were taken by Internal Affairs Bureau investigators. Printed photographs of the scene are included in this case book, as [EXHIBIT B].

Internal Affairs Bureau investigators canvassed the area for video cameras which could have captured the incident, but none were located.

WEAPON USED BY DEPUTY PERSONNEL

Subject Bonilla and Subject Dietze used control holds on Suspect Rojas, when Suspect Rojas resisted Subject Bonilla's efforts to handcuff him and attempted to walk back inside his residence.

WEAPON USED BY SUSPECT ROJAS

Suspect Rojas resisted deputy personnel's efforts to handcuff him.

PROPERTY DAMAGE BY SUSPECT ROJAS

There was no property damaged during this incident.

CRIMINAL INVESTIGATOR AND CHARGES FILED

Investigator: Temple Station Detective – Stephen Cabrera

Court: El Monte Courthouse

Charges: 243(e)(1) P.C.

IAB Note: On July 26, 2016, the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office filed one count of 243(e)(1) P.C., against Suspect Rojas. On July 28, 2016, Suspect Rojas was sentenced to three years of Summary Probation and nine days in LA County jail. **[MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS]**. On September 17, 2016, Suspect [REDACTED] was deported.

LIGHTING AND WEATHER CONDITIONS

The incident occurred in the backyard of [REDACTED] Remer Street, during the early morning hours (0239 hours). The area was dark, with a little light emitting from inside the residence. The weather was warm and clear.

The following narratives are intended only as a synopsis of the interviews. Additional information and precise wording may be obtained by reviewing the digitally audio recorded interviews and verbatim transcriptions. IAB investigators reviewed all reports authored by the involved deputies.

WITNESS STATEMENTS

On July 24, 2016, [REDACTED] was interviewed by Temple Station Sergeant Michael Martinez # [REDACTED] and Subject [REDACTED]. The interview was conducted at [REDACTED] Remer Street and was video recorded **[EXHIBIT B]**. For complete statements made, more information, and precise wording, please see attached verbatim interview transcription. Following is a summary of her interview:

After she was assaulted by Suspect Rojas, Witness [REDACTED] called the police **[Page 3 of Witness [REDACTED] transcribed interview]**. She then went out to the front of her residence and waited for the police to arrive. When Sheriff's personnel arrived, they contacted her outside, in front of her residence **[Page 5 of Witness [REDACTED] transcribed interview]**. She explained what had taken place, to the deputies:

She was standing in front of the location when Suspect Rojas was escorted out to the patrol car. After Suspect Rojas had been secured in the back seat of the patrol car, she saw Suspect Rojas' cheek was very red and looked like he had been "beaten" **[Page 7 of Witness [REDACTED] transcribed interview]**. When she asked a deputy what happened to Suspect Rojas, the deputy told her Suspect Rojas tried to escape from the police and he (Rojas) did it to himself **[Page 7, 9, 13 of Witness [REDACTED] transcribed interview]**. Witness [REDACTED] described Suspect Rojas as a problematic person, who had hit her many times and has had a lot of problems with the police **[Page 10 of Witness [REDACTED] transcribed interview]**.

On May 31, 2017, **Custody Assistant Heidi Ziebeck**, was interviewed by Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeant [REDACTED] and Sergeant Michael Maxwell. The interview was conducted at Temple Sheriff Station in the administrative jailer office and was digitally audio recorded. Following is a summary of her interview:

Custody Assistant Ziebeck was the day shift jailer assigned to Temple Station, on July 24, 2016. Custody Assistant Ziebeck did not remember receiving any pass on information regarding Suspect Rojas, from Custody Assistant Martha Rodriguez. Custody Assistant Rodriguez was assigned as the early morning shift jailer.

Custody Assistant Ziebeck could not remember Suspect Rojas, nor could she remember ever being made aware of Suspect Rojas' injuries. During the course of serving meals and conducting inmate welfare and safety checks that day, she did not remember any inmates make any complaints of pain to her, or complain of any injuries. She did not observe any inmates with injuries **[Page 4 of Witness Ziebeck's transcribed interview]**.

SUBJECT STATEMENTS

On May 9, 2017, **Subject Eduardo Bonilla**, # [REDACTED] was interviewed by Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeant [REDACTED] and Sergeant [REDACTED]. The interview was conducted at the Internal Affairs Bureau, and was digitally audio recorded. Subject Bonilla was represented by Attorney Emily Suhr, from the Law Offices of Green and Shinee. For complete statements made, more information, and precise wording, please see attached verbatim interview transcription.

During his interview with Internal Affairs Bureau investigators, Subject Bonilla told investigators the following: Subject Bonilla attempted to arrest Suspect Rojas. During the arrest, Suspect Rojas pulled away from Subject Bonilla. When he (Bonilla) and Subject Dietze were pulling back on Suspect Rojas to keep him from entering back into his residence, Suspect Rojas never fell to the ground, nor was he ever thrown to the ground by deputy personnel **[Page 19 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**. He never punched Suspect Rojas on the head, nor did he see any deputy personnel punch Suspect Rojas on his head. He did not see any deputy personnel punch Suspect Rojas anywhere on his person. **[Page 19, 20 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**. He never saw any deputy personnel kick Suspect Rojas on either side of his upper torso, or anywhere on his person **[Page 20 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**.

Suspect Rojas never made any complaints of pain to Subject Bonilla, while they were at the location. After Suspect Rojas was secured in the back seat of his patrol car, Subject Bonilla saw redness to his (Rojas') cheek. He did not remember seeing the redness to Suspect Rojas' cheek, prior to his contact with Suspect Rojas **[Page 20-22 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]**.

Subject Bonilla did not report the force he used and witnessed, to a sergeant [Page 29 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]. Subject Bonilla also did not report Suspect Rojas' actions during his (Bonilla's) contact with him (Rojas), to a sergeant [Page 29 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]. Subject Bonilla did not report the force he used and witnessed to Subject [REDACTED] or any other sergeant, based on his belief force had not been used at the time he contacted Suspect Rojas [Page 29 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]. When asked "Why" he documented in his first report [EXHIBIT D], he had "immediately notified Sergeant [REDACTED] of the force he used/ witnessed, in the field," Subject Bonilla explained it was an "error" and something he was used to writing at the end of a report [Page 30-31 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview].

Later in his shift, Subject Bonilla was called into the watch commander's office and notified by Subject [REDACTED] that Suspect Rojas alleged deputies had used force on him [Page 33 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]. Also present during this meeting, were Subject Dietze and Subject Guzak [Page 34 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]. During the meeting he did not tell Subject [REDACTED] the details of the incident, but told Subject [REDACTED] he would talk to Subject [REDACTED] about it [Page 34 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview].

Following the meeting with Subject [REDACTED] Subject Dietze, Subject Guzak and Subject Bonilla, met up with Subject [REDACTED] in the briefing room [Page 34 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]. It was during this meeting, Subject Bonilla first explained to Subject Jove the details of what had occurred at the back of the location with Suspect Rojas. During this meeting, it was determined force (control holds/ resisted handcuffing) had been used by Subjects Bonilla and Dietze [Page 35 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]. Subject [REDACTED] directed Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak to write reports documenting the force they used and/or witnessed. Subject Bonilla had not written his report documenting the incident, at the time of this meeting [Page 35 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview].

Subject Bonilla was never directed by anyone to document he notified Subject [REDACTED] in the field, in his report [Page 31 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview]. Subject Bonilla was never given any specific directions or advice from Subject [REDACTED] or Subject [REDACTED] regarding how to document this incident [Page 31 of Subject Bonilla's transcribed interview].

On May 9, 2017, Subject Bradley Dietze, # [REDACTED] was interviewed by Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeant [REDACTED] and Sergeant [REDACTED]. The interview was conducted at the Internal Affairs Bureau, and was digitally audio recorded. Subject Dietze was represented by Attorney Emily Suhr, from the Law Offices of Green and Shinee. For complete statements made, more information, and precise wording, please see attached verbatim interview transcription.

During his interview with Internal Affairs Bureau investigators, Subject Dietze told investigators the following: Subject Bonilla had Suspect Rojas' hands behind his back.

Suspect Rojas broke free from Subject Bonilla. He (Dietze) grabbed Suspect Rojas' arm and placed it behind his back. When he (Dietze) and Subject Bonilla were attempting to regain control of Suspect Rojas in order to keep him from entering back into his residence, Suspect Rojas never fell to the ground, nor was he ever thrown to the ground by deputy personnel **[Page 16 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**. He never punched Suspect Rojas on the head, nor did he see any deputy personnel punch Suspect Rojas on his head. He did not see any deputy personnel punch Suspect Rojas anywhere on his person. **[Page 16-17 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**. He never kicked Suspect Rojas on either side of his upper torso, nor did he see any deputy personnel kick Suspect Rojas on either side of his upper torso, or anywhere on his person **[Page 17 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**. While at the location, he never saw any injuries to Suspect Rojas' person, nor did he see Suspect Rojas ever act as if he (Rojas) was in pain **[Page 17 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**.

Subject Dietze did not report the force he used and witnessed, to a sergeant **[Page 19 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**. Subject Dietze also did not report Suspect Rojas' actions during his (Dietze's) contact with him (Rojas), to a sergeant **[Page 20 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**. Subject Dietze did not report the force he used and witnessed to Subject [REDACTED] or any other sergeant, based on his belief force had not been used at the time he contacted Suspect Rojas **[Page 21 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**. When asked "Why" he documented in his first report **[EXHIBIT D]**, "Sergeant [REDACTED] responded to the scene and was notified of the force I used/ witnessed," Subject Dietze explained he mistakenly wrote it, as something he would normally write on any other report **[Page 20 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**.

Later in his shift, Subject Dietze was called into the watch commander's office and notified by Subject [REDACTED] that Suspect Rojas alleged deputies had used force on him **[Page 22 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**. Subject Dietze could not remember if there were any other deputies present, when he talked to Subject [REDACTED] **[Page 22 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**. During the meeting Subject [REDACTED] told him, he ([REDACTED]) did not know whether or not the deputies assigned to the call used force or did not use force. Subject [REDACTED] told him to talk to Subject [REDACTED] and figure out what needed to be done **[Page 22 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**.

Following the meeting with Subject [REDACTED] Subject Dietze went to the report writing room to start writing his supplemental report **[Page 23 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**. While in the report writing room, Subject Dietze met up with Subject Bonilla, Subject Guzak and Subject [REDACTED]. During this meeting, Subject Bonilla, Subject Guzak and himself explained to Subject [REDACTED] the details of what had occurred at the back of the location with Suspect Rojas. During this meeting, it was determined force (control holds/ resisted handcuffing) had been used by Subjects Dietze and Bonilla **[Page 23 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**. Subject [REDACTED] directed Subjects Dietze, Bonilla and Guzak to write reports documenting the force they used and/or witnessed.

Subject Dietze had not written any reports documenting the incident, prior to this meeting **[Page 23 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**.

Subject Dietze was never directed by anyone to document he notified Subject [REDACTED] in the field, in his first supplemental report **[Page 21 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**. Subject Dietze was never given any specific directions or advice from Subject [REDACTED] or Subject [REDACTED] regarding how to document this incident **[Page 23 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]**.

On May 9, 2017, Subject Charles Guzak, # [REDACTED] was interviewed by Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeant [REDACTED] and Sergeant [REDACTED]. The interview was conducted at the Internal Affairs Bureau, and was digitally audio recorded. Subject Guzak was represented by Attorney Emily Suhr, from the Law Offices of Green and Shinee. For complete statements made, more information, and precise wording, please see attached verbatim interview transcription.

During his interview with Internal Affairs Bureau investigators, Subject Guzak told investigators the following: While Subject Bonilla was searching Suspect Rojas, Suspect Rojas broke free from Subject Bonilla. He saw Subject Dietze and Subject Bonilla attempting to regain control of Suspect Rojas to keep him from entering back into his residence. Suspect Rojas never fell to the ground, nor was he ever thrown to the ground by deputy personnel **[Page 16 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview]**. He never punched Suspect Rojas on the head, nor did he see any deputy personnel punch Suspect Rojas on his head. He did not see any deputy personnel punch Suspect Rojas anywhere on his person. **[Page 16-17 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview]**. He never kicked Suspect Rojas on either side of his upper torso, nor did he see any deputy personnel kick Suspect Rojas on either side of his upper torso, or anywhere on his person **[Page 17 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview]**.

While leaving the location for another call, Subject Guzak was flagged down by Subject Bonilla. Subject Bonilla directed his attention to an abrasion on Suspect Rojas' face **[Page 18 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview]**. Subject Guzak told Subject Bonilla he could not book Suspect Rojas into the jail like that and told him to check him (Rojas) for injuries and get a medical "Ok to book" **[Page 18 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview]**. Subject Guzak then left the location to respond to a priority call **[Page 18 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview]**.

IAB Note: An "Ok to book" is when a suspect requires medical attention, prior to being booked into any station jail. In section 3-10/105.00 of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's M.P.P., a list of criteria is given for when a suspect must be transported to a medical facility for examination, prior to booking. The list includes, but is not limited to when a suspect has injuries that appear to require medical attention and whenever the suspect alleges an injury and requests medical treatment, whether or not they have any apparent injuries **[MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS]**.

Subject Guzak did not report the force he witnessed, to a sergeant [Page 19 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview]. Subject Guzak also did not report Suspect Rojas' actions during Subjects Bonilla's and Dietze's contact with him (Rojas), to a sergeant [Page 22 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview]. Subject Guzak did not report the force he witnessed to Subject [REDACTED] or any other sergeant [Page 22 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview]. When asked "Why" he documented in his first report [EXHIBIT D], he notified Subject [REDACTED] at the scene of the force he witnessed, Subject Guzak explained he mistakenly wrote it, as something he typed out of habit. The statement was not what he intended, when he wrote his first supplemental report [Page 24 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview].

Later in his shift, Subject Guzak was called into the watch commander's office and notified by Subject [REDACTED] that Suspect Rojas alleged deputies had used force on him [Page 23 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview]. Also present during this time, were Subject Dietze and Subject Bonilla [Page 22 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview]. During the meeting Subject [REDACTED] told him, he [REDACTED] did not know whether or not the deputies assigned to the call used force or did not use force. Subject [REDACTED] told the deputies to talk to Subject [REDACTED] about the incident and if reports needed to be written, to write them [Page 23 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview].

Following the meeting with Subject [REDACTED] Subject Guzak met up with Subject Bonilla, Subject Dietze, and Subject [REDACTED]. During this meeting, Subject Bonilla, Subject Dietze and himself explained to Subject [REDACTED] the details of what had occurred at the back of the location with Suspect Rojas. During this meeting, it was determined force (control holds/ resisted handcuffing) had been used by Subjects Dietze and Bonilla [Page 24 of Subject Dietze's transcribed interview]. Subject Jove directed Subjects Guzak, Bonilla and Dietze to write reports documenting the force they used and/or witnessed [Page 24 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview]. Subject Dietze had not written any reports documenting the incident, prior to this meeting.

Subject Guzak was never directed by anyone to document he notified Subject [REDACTED] in the field, in his first supplemental report [Page 25 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview]. Subject Guzak was never given any specific directions or advice from Subject [REDACTED] or Subject [REDACTED] regarding how to document this incident [Page 25 of Subject Guzak's transcribed interview].

On May 23, 2017, Subject [REDACTED] # [REDACTED] was interviewed by Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeant [REDACTED] and Sergeant Michael Maxwell. The interview was conducted at the Internal Affairs Bureau, and was digitally audio recorded. Subject [REDACTED] was represented by Attorney James Cunningham, from the Law Offices of James J. Cunningham. For complete statements made, more information, and precise wording, please see attached verbatim interview transcription.

During his interview with Internal Affairs Bureau investigators, Subject [REDACTED] told investigators the following: He responded to [REDACTED] Remer Street, regarding a

"Domestic Violence" call [Page 4 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview]. He was the first unit to arrive at the location. Upon Subject ██████ arrival, he contacted Witness ██████ who was standing in the middle of the street waving him down [Page 5 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview]. Shortly after contacting Witness ██████ Subject Guzak and Subject Dietze arrived. While they waited for Subject Bonilla to arrive, Witness ██████ explained to them what had occurred. Since Witness ██████ spoke Spanish, Subject ██████ translated for Subjects Dietze and Guzak. Subject Bonilla arrived and took over the responsibility of communicating with Witness ██████ [Page 5 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview].

While in his presence, Witness ██████ explained to Subject Bonilla that Suspect Rojas was still in their room, which was located to the rear of the residence. Subject Bonilla, Subject Dietze, Subject Guzak and himself began to walk back toward the rear of the location in a single file line, to contact Suspect Rojas. Subject ██████ placed himself at the rear of the line, in case he needed to direct force [Page 6 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview]. As they walked to the rear of the location, Witness ██████ rushed up behind their line, yelling about her phone. Subject ██████ turned around to stop Witness ██████ from approaching them from behind and encouraged her to relax [Page 6 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview]. By the time Subject ██████ was able to calm Witness ██████ Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak were already walking back out to the front of the location with Suspect Rojas already handcuffed [Page 6 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview]. Subject ██████ estimated the time from when he turned around to stop Witness ██████ from advancing on them, to the time the subjects were walking back out to the front of the location, to be approximately forty-five seconds [Page 10 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview].

After Suspect Rojas had been placed in the back seat of Subject Bonilla's patrol car, Subject ██████ opened the rear driver's side door and asked Suspect Rojas where Witness ██████ phone was. At no time, during Subject ██████ contact with Suspect Rojas, did Suspect Rojas ever tell Subject ██████ he was hurt, or that the deputies had been rough with him [Page 7 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview]. Subject ██████ did not see any visible injuries on Suspect Rojas' person [Page 11 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview]. During this time, Subject ██████ remembered Subjects Dietze and Guzak had to leave the location for another call for service. He remained at the location for a short time and attempted to help Witness ██████ locate her phone [Page 7 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview].

After Subject ██████ left the location, he drove back to Temple Station, logged off from his shift and went home [Page 7 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview]. At no time, prior to leaving the station to go home, was Subject ██████ ever notified by Subjects Bonilla, Dietze or Guzak of any force they had used or witnessed regarding Suspect Rojas [Page 14 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview].

While he was asleep at his house, Subject ██████ received a phone call from Subject ██████. During the phone call, Subject ██████ advised him there had been force used at the "Domestic Violence" call on Remer Avenue [Page 15 of Subject ██████ transcribed interview].

transcribed interview]. Subject [REDACTED] ordered him back to the station [Page 15 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. When he arrived at the station, Subject [REDACTED] went to the watch commander's office where Subject [REDACTED] was already meeting together with Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak. Subject [REDACTED] arrived to the meeting after Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak had already explained their actions toward Suspect Rojas to Subject [REDACTED]. Subject [REDACTED] then briefed him on what Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak told him [REDACTED] [Page 16 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview].

Following the meeting with Subject [REDACTED] he met with Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak. Given the fact he considered what was described to him by Subject [REDACTED] as force, he told Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak to write supplemental reports documenting exactly what had taken place with Suspect Rojas [Page 16 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. While Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak were writing their reports, he went with Sergeant Mike Martinez to interview Witness [REDACTED] at her residence. Please see the videotaped interview of Witness [REDACTED] which is attached to this Investigative Summary as [EXHIBIT B].

After Subject [REDACTED] finished the interview of Witness [REDACTED] he went back to the station where he read and approved Subject Bonilla's report, as well as Subjects Dietze's and Guzak's supplemental reports [Page 17 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. When asked why he approved the reports, when they did not accurately describe when he was notified of the force used and witnessed, Subject [REDACTED] explained how he had been awake for thirty six hours, prior to being ordered back into the station [Page 18 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. Subject [REDACTED] never directed Subjects Bonilla, Dietze or Guzak to write in their reports they notified him [REDACTED] at the scene [Page 18 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview].

After Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak's reports had all been signed off by him [REDACTED] and turned in for processing, Subject [REDACTED] brought to his attention, the discrepancy of when he [REDACTED] had been notified of the force the deputies used and witnessed [Page 19 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. Subject [REDACTED] directed him to have Subjects Bonilla, Dietze, and Guzak write supplemental reports to clarify when he [REDACTED] had actually been notified [Page 19 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. Subject [REDACTED] then directed Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak to write their second supplemental reports [Page 18 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview].

On May 23, 2017, Subject [REDACTED] # [REDACTED] was interviewed by Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeant [REDACTED] and Sergeant Michael Maxwell. The interview was conducted at the Internal Affairs Bureau, and was digitally audio recorded. Subject [REDACTED] was represented by Attorney Jim Cunningham, from the Law Offices of James J. Cunningham. For complete statements made, more information, and precise wording, please see attached verbatim interview transcription.

During his interview with Internal Affairs Bureau investigators, Subject [REDACTED] told investigators the following: He worked day shift on July 24, 2016, as the Temple Station

Watch Commander. He began his shift by going into the Temple Station jail and saying "Hello" to the jailer, Witness Ziebeck. From the position he was standing, Subject [REDACTED] could see Suspect Rojas in one of the jail's holding cells. Subject [REDACTED] saw Suspect Rojas had a large, circular abrasion on his left cheek [Page 4 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview]. After seeing Suspect Rojas' abrasion, Subject [REDACTED] looked at the white board in the jail, to see Suspect Rojas' name and the location he was arrested. He then began conducting an inquiry in regard to whether or not Suspect Rojas had been treated for the abrasion to his face [Page 4 of Subject [REDACTED] transcribed interview].

SUSPECT'S STATEMENTS

On July 24, 2016, at 0750 hours, **Suspect Ignacio Rojas**, was interviewed by Lieutenant [REDACTED] and Sergeant Michael Martinez. The interview was conducted inside the Temple Station jail and was video recorded [EXHIBIT B]. For complete statements made, more information, and precise wording, please see attached verbatim interview transcription. Following is a summary of his interview:

Earlier in the evening, he had been out drinking with his [REDACTED] Witness [REDACTED] He described how when Witness [REDACTED] drinks, she "gets easy with the men" [Page 2 of **Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview**]. At some point in time, prior to going home, Witness [REDACTED] told him she was with another person and she was going to leave with her new [REDACTED] [Page 3 of **Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview**]. Suspect Rojas did not want any problems, so he left the location. He then went home and fell asleep [Page 3 of **Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview**].

At approximately 0200 hours, Sheriff's deputies knocked on his door and told him to come outside [Page 4 of **Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview**]. He asked the deputy "Why" he had to come outside and a deputy told him Witness [REDACTED] said he (Rojas) had hit her [Page 4 of **Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview**]. The deputy then grabbed him from inside the location and took him out [Page 5, 6 of **Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview**]. The deputies then threw him down on the floor and punched him [Page 6, 14 of **Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview**]. He was then placed inside a patrol car [Page 15 of **Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview**]. There were three deputies present during this time [Page 7 of **Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview**].

He received the injury to his face when he was dropped down to the ground [Page 7 of **Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview**]. Suspect Rojas told Subject [REDACTED] his head never hit a wall and he did not have an injury on his face, prior to his contact with the deputies [Page 8 of **Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview**]. When asked if he resisted the deputies, Suspect Rojas said he never had a chance to resist [Page 12 of **Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview**]. When asked if he fought with the deputies, Suspect Rojas said, "No" [Page 13 of **Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview**]. When asked if any deputies kicked him during his contact with the deputies, Suspect Rojas said, "No" [Page 16 of **Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview**]. Suspect Rojas also

told Subject [REDACTED] he never tried to run from the deputies **[Page 17 of Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview]**. When asked where he was injured, Suspect Rojas said his left cheek, head and left rib cage area **[Page 15 of Suspect Rojas' transcribed interview]**.

On July 24, 2016, at 2025 hours, **Suspect Ignacio Rojas**, was interviewed by Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeant [REDACTED] and Sergeant [REDACTED]. The interview was conducted at Harbor UCLA Hospital and was video and audio recorded **[EXHIBIT B]**. For complete statements made, more information, and precise wording, please see attached verbatim interview transcription. Following is a summary of his interview: At approximately 0200 hours, he was asleep at his residence. At approximately 0300 hours his [REDACTED] Witness [REDACTED] returned home after she had been out dancing. Suspect Rojas believed she had been out dancing with another man **[Page 3 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview]**. When Witness [REDACTED] arrived home, she turned music on inside their room. This upset Suspect Rojas because it was too late and there were other people asleep inside the residence **[Page 4 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview]**. Witness [REDACTED] told him she wanted to listen to music and continued to play her music. Suspect Rojas pulled Witness [REDACTED] through their room, pushed her outside the door onto the back patio and then locked her outside **[Page 4 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview]**.

Witness [REDACTED] became upset and told Suspect Rojas she was going to call the police and tell them he hit her **[Page 5 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview]**. Approximately twenty minutes later, he heard someone knock on his security screen door. A deputy then told him to come outside his residence **[Page 6 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview]**. When he opened his door, he saw three deputies. A deputy told him to come outside of his residence and he complied **[Page 6 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview]**.

While outside of his residence, a deputy told him he was under arrest. Upon hearing this, Suspect Rojas began to walk back into his residence **[Page 7 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview]**. When Suspect Rojas' body was half inside his residence and half outside, a deputy told him he was under arrest and began to pull him away from his doorway and onto the ground **[Page 7, 12 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview]**. When Suspect Rojas went to the ground, he landed face first with his hands behind his back **[Page 13 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview]**. While he was being held down to the ground, a second deputy hit him two times on the right side of his head **[Page 13 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview]**. While on the ground, a third deputy kicked him **[Page 14, 15 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview]**.

A deputy then pulled him up off the ground and told him to face the wall and spread his legs apart **[Page 16 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview]**. Suspect Rojas was then handcuffed **[Page 17 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview]**. After he was handcuffed, the deputy pulled items out of his pockets and dropped them inside his room **[Page 17 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview]**. He was then

escorted to the front of the residence and seated in the back seat of a patrol car [Page 17 of Suspect Rojas' IAB transcribed interview].

IAB Note: Harbor- UCLA Medical Center's Discharge Documentation, Page 21 of 54 stated the following: "Patient is a 49 y/o male who went to a bar yesterday and was involved in an altercation with a fellow patron. He returned home and got involved with another altercation with his [REDACTED] His [REDACTED] called 911 and when the police arrived at the house, they noticed some bleeding from his left cheek." [MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS].

Los Angeles County Medical Center's Documentation, Page 1 of 5 stated the following: "I have history of marijuana and alcohol abuse. I was assaulted by Temple City Police officers three days ago with hospital transfer for treatment. I got head, left arm and left rib cage injury. I don't have any mental problems" [MISCELLANEOUS DOCUMENTS].

REVIEW OF EMERGENT RADIO TRAFFIC

There was no radio traffic broadcasted during Subject's Bonilla, Dietze, and Guzak's contact with Suspect Rojas.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Custody Assistant Martha Rodriguez, who worked as the early morning shift jailer on the night of the incident, retired on [REDACTED] Internal Affairs Bureau investigators made attempts to contact Custody Assistant Rodriguez via phone, home visit and certified mail, but were unable to contact her during the time of this investigation.

The address of the incident location which was typed in the call for service, was [REDACTED] It should be noted, the actual location of the call for service was [REDACTED] Remer Street.

All photos referred to during the interviews of Subjects Bonilla, Dietze and Guzak are included in this Investigative Summary, immediately following each of their respective interview transcriptions.